

FMC 1236 PUS  
200-0382

## Technical Field

5

10

Especially when large numbers of vehicles are involved, small errors in estimating used vehicle market value could translate large monetary losses.

15

20

the inconsistency in the criteria for selecting similar used vehicles further skews the market value estimate. Other methods include performing linear regression on historical vehicle data to determine relative market value and sensitivity of vehicle content on overall vehicle market value. However, there is no mechanism to apply to use linear regression to estimate a used vehicle's market value due to procedural difficulties and lack of accuracy when not combined with local neighbor search.

Accordingly, a need exists for a method for valuing used vehicles wherein the method accounts for vehicle variations at the VIN level.

#### Disclosure Of Invention

In accordance with one aspect of the present invention, a method for determining a used vehicle's market value is provided. The method includes selecting a number of comparable vehicles to use to estimate the used vehicle's market value, evaluating an estimation accuracy of the number of comparable vehicles using a historical database of used vehicles whose market values are known, determining a used vehicle market value error for the number of comparable vehicles selected, determining if the error has improved from a previous error, wherein the previous error was generated using a different number of comparable vehicles, and estimating a used

vehicle's market value using the number of comparable vehicles if the error has improved.

In accordance with another aspect of the present invention, the method further comprises  
5 selecting a target vehicle from a historical database of used vehicles whose market values are known.

In accordance with still another aspect of the present invention, the method further comprises  
10 comparing the comparable vehicles to the target vehicle to determine a distance between each comparable vehicle and the target vehicle.

In accordance with still another aspect of the present invention, the method further comprises  
15 adjusting the known market values of each of the comparable vehicles using the distance to arrive at an estimated used vehicle market value for the target vehicle.

In accordance with still another aspect of the present invention, the method further comprises  
20 computing a distance-weighted average of the estimated market values.

In accordance with still another aspect of the present invention, the method further comprises  
25 generating a market value estimation error based on a difference in the distance-weighted average of the estimated market values and the known market value of the target vehicle.

In accordance with still another aspect of the present invention, the method further comprises

selecting a comparable vehicle from the historical database.

In accordance with still another aspect of the present invention, the method further comprises comparing the used vehicle to the comparable vehicles to determine a distance between each comparable vehicle and the used vehicle.

In accordance with yet another aspect of the present invention, the method further comprises adjusting the known market values of each of the comparable vehicles using the distance to arrive at an estimated used vehicle market value for the used vehicle.

In accordance with yet another aspect of the present invention, the method further comprises  
15 computing a distance-weighted average of the estimated market values.

In accordance with yet another aspect of the present invention, the method further comprises generating a market value estimation error based on a difference in the distance-weighted average of the estimated market values and the known market value of the used vehicle.

The above features, benefits and advantages  
25 and other features, benefits and advantages of the  
present invention are readily apparent from the  
following detailed description of the best mode for  
carrying out the invention when taken together with  
the accompanying drawings.

### Brief Description Of Drawings

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of the process for estimating a used vehicle's market value, in accordance with the present invention;

Figure 2 is a flowchart illustrating the method for estimating a used vehicle's market value, in accordance with the present invention;

Figure 4 is a flowchart illustrating the steps for estimating used vehicle market value for all vehicles in the course of the present invention.

### Best Mode For Carrying Out The Invention

The DWNN approach searches for a set of comparable vehicles which will be referred to as a neighborhood in an existing historical database of used vehicles. Estimations of the used vehicles are established based on each neighbor vehicle in the neighborhood by adjusting their resale value in accordance with the individual differences found between the neighbor(s) and the used vehicle whose market value is to be estimated/predicted (the target vehicle). Finally, a distance-weighted average of all such estimations is calculated to arrive at a market value estimation for the target vehicle.

The neighborhood is a subset of vehicles selected from the historical database wherein each vehicle satisfies a predefined set of neighbor constraints. Typical neighbor constraints are certain key vehicle features, such as model, series, and model year. Of course, the neighbor constraints may be modified to increase or decrease the number of vehicles in the neighborhood.

The number of neighbors (hereinafter referred to as K) is controlled to provide the most accurate estimation of market value as possible. One objective of the present invention is to select the best value for K such that an estimation error is minimized while allowing the largest number of vehicles (coverage) to be accurately estimated. However, the estimation error cannot be derived from the set of target vehicles since the resale values of

the target vehicles are undetermined. The DWNN process uses a neighborhood derived from the historical database and a historical database target vehicle (HDT vehicle) as surrogates for the target vehicles to determine the estimation error. The number of neighbors (K) is initially set to one and then incremented thereafter. For each increment of K, the estimation error and a coverage constraint are checked against the previous values. The coverage constraint is generally expressed in terms of a percentage. Coverage is calculated by dividing the number of vehicles whose market value have been estimated by the total number of vehicles whose market value were requested to be estimated. Finally, the method terminates and returns the estimated used vehicle market value calculated using the last value of K, when the estimation error has increased since the previous estimation error or if the coverage constraint cannot be satisfied with the current value of K.

Referring now to Figure 1, an schematic diagram of inputs and outputs of the DWNN method for estimating a used vehicle's market value is illustrated, in accordance with the present invention. DWNN process 10 requires the following inputs: (1) a historical database of used vehicles 12; (2) a set of neighbor constraints 14 or maximum acceptable differences for a pair of vehicles to be considered neighbors; (3) a coverage constraint percentage

requirement 16; (4) a plurality of neighborhood distance functions 18; and (5) a set of used vehicles (target vehicles) 20 whose market value is to be estimated/predicted. The output of the DWNN method is a set of used vehicle's market values 22.

The historical database 12 includes a plurality of records which include a complete description of all the features and contents of each used vehicle, such as vehicle type, model, series, trim level, engine type, transmission type, moon roof equipped, leather, and interior/exterior color. Further, each record in historical database 12 contains information regarding the resale of the used vehicles, such as a resale date, region, mileage, condition, resale channel, and resale price.

Neighbor constraints 14 are constraints, such as the vehicle must be the same model and make, model year, and vehicle series, and the difference in mileage must be less than 3,000 miles. The coverage percentage constraint 16, as described above, ensures that the market values for the largest number of target vehicles are being accurately estimated. The distance functions 18 are formulas which map or correlate a difference in features or vehicle contents between the pair of vehicles to an amount of used vehicle resale value. For example, a difference of 1,000 miles between two vehicles equates to a difference of \$75.00 in resale value, and a difference in a vehicle having a moon roof and a vehicle that



does not have a moon roof may be determined using the distance function to equate to a resale value difference of \$400.00. The set of used vehicles (target vehicles) 20 whose market value is to be  
5 determined contains detailed descriptions of the features and contents of each used vehicle including the vehicle's intended resale time, region and resale channel. Of course, the resale plan information is not mandatory but is helpful for arriving at a more  
10 accurate market value estimation. The vehicles contained in used vehicle set 20, for ease of explanation, will be referred to as target vehicles.

Referring now to Figure 2, a flowchart illustrating the method for estimating a used  
15 vehicle's market value is illustrated, in accordance with the present invention. The method starts at an initialization step where previous error is set to a large number, such as 100,000 and where the number of neighbors (K) is set to 1, as represented in blocks 30  
20 and 32. At block 34, the estimation accuracy of the current K value is evaluated using only the vehicles in the historical database 12. This step will be described in further detail hereinafter. At block 36 an average estimation error for the current K number  
25 of neighbors is computed by dividing the sum of errors for all vehicles in historical database 12 by the total number of vehicles in historical database 12. This generates the average estimation error associated with the current value of K. The computed average

estimation error is assigned to a variable  $error_k$ . The average estimation error is checked for improvement, as represented by block 38. More specifically, it is determined whether  $error_k$  is less than the previous error. If  $error_k$  is less than the previous error, than the previous error is set equal to  $error_k$ , as represented by block 40. However, if  $error_k$  is not less than the previous error, then the DWNN process is stopped and the market value estimations using the previous K are considered to be the most accurate values, as represented by blocks 38 and 48.

With continuing reference to Figure 2, the method for estimating used vehicle market value is continued at block 42 where the market value for all target vehicles is estimated, in accordance with the present invention. This step will be described in further detail hereinafter. At block 44, the coverage percentage 16 is checked. More specifically, if the coverage percentage is less than the coverage percentage constraint 16, then the process is stopped and the used vehicle market values derived using the previous K are considered to be the most accurate market values. However, if the current coverage percentage is not less than the coverage constraint 16, the process continues at block 46. At block 46, the estimated/predicted target vehicle market values

are stored in the used vehicle data set 22. The number of neighbors K is incremented by 1, and the method repeats itself as indicated by blocks 46 and 34.

5 Referring now to Figure 3, a flowchart illustrating the additional steps involved in evaluating the estimation accuracy of the current K number of nearest neighbors using historical database 12 is illustrated, in accordance with the present invention. At block 60, all used vehicles in historical database 12, which satisfy the neighbor constraints 14 are located and saved. Using the distance functions 18, the distance between each neighbor vehicle is calculated, as represented by 10 block 62. At block 64, the number of vehicles which were found to satisfy the neighbor constraints 14 are checked to determine if there are a K number of neighbors. If there are not a K number of neighbors available, then the target vehicle is rejected as not being predictable, as represented by blocks 64 and 72. 15 Another target vehicle in the historical database 12 is then selected and the process repeats itself at block 60. However, if there are enough neighbors, then the process continues, as represented by block 20 66, where for each neighbor vehicle there is computed an estimation for the market value of the target vehicle by adjusting the known value of neighbor vehicle based on the distance function. At block 68, a distance-weighted average of all the adjusted known 25

market value estimations is used to generate the final market value estimation for the target vehicle. For example, if there are three neighbors  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  and the distances are  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$  and  $d_3$ , respectively, then the weights for  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  are  $W_1 = D_1 / (D_1 + D_2 + D_3)$ ,  $W_2 = D_2 / (D_1 + D_2 + D_3)$ , and  $W_3 = D_3 / (D_1 + D_2 + D_3)$  where  $D_1 = (d_1 + d_2 + d_3) / d_1$ ,  $D_2 = (d_1 + d_2 + d_3) / d_2$  and  $D_3 = (d_1 + d_2 + d_3) / d_3$ . Finally, at block 70, the estimation error for the target vehicle is calculated by taking the difference between the estimated value and the actual resale price for the target vehicle.

Referring now to Figure 4, a flowchart illustrating the steps for estimating the market value for all the used vehicles (target vehicles) 20 whose market value is unknown is illustrated, in accordance with the present invention. At block 80, all vehicles in the historical database 12 that satisfy the neighbor constraints 14 are found and segregated into a neighborhood subset. The distance between each neighbor vehicle in the neighborhood subset and the target vehicle whose market value is to be estimated is determined. However, only a K number of nearest neighbors in the neighborhood subset are selected based on the distances calculated, as represented by block 82. At block 84, it is determined whether there are enough neighbors to conduct a market value estimation. If there are not a K number of neighbors available, then the target vehicle is rejected and another target vehicle in used vehicles set 20 is

selected, and the process repeats itself as represented by blocks 84, 92 and 80.

However, if there are enough neighbors, then a market value for the target vehicle is estimated for each neighbor vehicle in the neighborhood subset. The market value estimation is calculated by adjusting the value of each neighbor by a market value dollar amount determined using the distance function 18, as represented by block 86. At block 88, a distance-weighted average of all market value estimations are computed to generate a final estimation for the target vehicle. For example, in a similar manner as described above, if there are three neighbors  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  and the distances are  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$  and  $d_3$ , respectively, then the weights for  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  are  $W_1 = D_1 / (D_1 + D_2 + D_3)$ ,  $W_2 = D_2 / (D_1 + D_2 + D_3)$ ,  $W_3 = D_3 / (D_1 + D_2 + D_3)$ , where  $D_1 = (d_1 + d_2 + d_3) / d_1$ ,  $D_2 = (d_1 + d_2 + d_3) / d_2$  and  $D_3 = (d_1 + d_2 + d_3) / d_3$ . Finally, at block 90, the target vehicle whose market value has been estimated is added to the used vehicle data set 22.

While embodiments of the invention have been illustrated and described, it is not intended that these embodiments illustrate and describe all possible forms of the invention. Rather, the words used in the specification are words of description rather than limitation, and it is understood that various changes may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.